# Ch6 Fatigue

- Fatigue strength and endurance limit
- Estimating Fatique strength S<sub>f</sub> and Endurance limit S<sub>e</sub>
- Modifying factors
- The first major class of machine elements failure is due to static failure
- The second major class of component failure is due to dynamic loading
  - Variable stresses
  - Repeated stresses
  - Alternating stresses
  - Fluctuating stresses
- The ultimate strength of a material (S<sub>u</sub>) is the maximum stress a material can sustain before failure assuming the load is applied only once and held
- A material can also fail by being loaded repeatedly to a stress level that is LESS than S<sub>u</sub>
  - Fatigue failure

# **Fatigue Examples**



(Copyright © The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. Permission required for reproduction or display.)





(Copyright © The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. Permission required for reproduction or display.)

(Copyright © The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. Permission required for reproduction or display.)

# Fatigue Failure Feature

- Flat facture surface, normal to stress axis, no necking
- Stage one: initiation of microcracks
- Stage two: progress from microcracks to macrocracks, forming parallel plateau-like facture feature (beach marks) separated by longitudinal ridge
- Stage three: final cycle, sudden, fast fracture.



Bolt, unidirectional bending

# Approach to fatigue failure in analysis and design

- Fatigue-life methods
  - <u>Stress Life Method (Used in this course</u>)
  - Strain Life Method
  - Linear Elastic Fracture Mechanics Method
- Stress-life method
  - Addresses high cycle Fatigue (>10<sup>3</sup>) Well
  - Not Accurate for Low Cycle Fatigue (<10<sup>3</sup>)

## The 3 major methods

- Stress-life
  - Based on stress levels only
  - Least accurate for low-cycle fatigue
  - Most traditional
    - Easiest to implement
    - Ample supporting data
    - Represents high-cycle applications adequately
- Strain-life
  - More detailed analysis of plastic deformation at localized regions
  - Good for low-cycle fatigue applications
  - Some uncertainties exist in the results
- Linear-elastic fracture mechanics
  - Assumes crack is already present and detected
  - Predicts crack growth with respect to stress intensity
  - Practical when applied to large structures in conjunction with computer codes and periodic inspection

# Stress-Life Method

 Specimen are subjected to repeated forces of specified magnitudes while the cycles are counted until fatigue failure



#### **6.4 The Stress-Life Method**

>This method relates the fatigue life to the alternating stress level causing failure



The stress-life relation is obtained experimentally using *Moore high-speed rotating beam test* 

- The test is conducted by subjecting the rotating beam to a pure bending moment (of a fixed known magnitude) until failure occurs. (*Due to rotation, the specimen is subjected to an alternating bending stress*)

- The data obtained from the tests is used to generate the fatigue strength *vs. fatigue life diagram which is known as the S-N diagram.* 



Metals such as Aluminium and Copper, do not have a distinct. In these cases, a number of cycles (usually 10<sup>7</sup>) is chosen to represent the fatigue life of the material.



 $\geq$  The symbol for endurance limit is S'<sub>e</sub> for the rotating beam specimen

> The symbol for endurance limit Se for an actual machine element

>endurance limit can be related to the tensile strength through

$$S'_{e} = \begin{cases} 0.5 S_{ut} & S_{ut} \le 200 \text{ kpsi} (1400 \text{ MPa}) \\ 100 \text{ kpsi} & S_{ut} > 200 \text{ kpsi} \\ 700 \text{ MPa} & S_{ut} > 1400 \text{ MPa} \end{cases}$$

A method to estimate the endurance limit for steel

## 6-2

Estimate  $S'_e$  in kpsi for the following materials:

- (a) AISI 1035 CD steel.
- (b) AISI 1050 HR steel.
- (c) 2024 T4 aluminum.

(d) AISI 4130 steel heat-treated to a tensile strength of 235 kpsi.

From A-20 in kpsi : (a) AISI 1035 CD steel.  $S_{ut} = 80$  kpsi  $S'_e = 0.5(80) = 40$  kpsi (b) AISI 1050 HR steel.  $S_{ut} = 90$  kpsi  $S'_e = 0.5(90) = 45$  kpsi (c) 2024 T4 aluminum. Aluminum has no endurance limit. (d) AISI 4130 steel heat-treated to a tensile strength of 235 kpsi

 $S_{ut} > 200$  kpsi,  $S'_e = 100$  kpsi

## 6-8Fatigue Strength

#### □ Region of low cycle fatigue:

The fatigue strength  $S_f$  is only slightly smaller than the tensile  $S_{ut}$  strength .

#### Region of high Cycle Fatigue

The purpose of this section is to develop methods of approximation of the S-N diagram in the high-cycle region, when information may be as scarse as the results of a simple tension test. Experience has shown high-cycle fatigue data are rectified by a logarithmic transform to both stress and cycles-to-failure.

## 6-8 Fatigue Strength

In the region of high cycle fatigue, the equation relating the fatigue strength  $S_f$  to the number of cycles to failure Nmay be given by the empirical curve fit equation:

$$S_f = a N^b \tag{6-13}$$

where N is the number of cycles to failure and a and b are given by

$$a = \frac{\left(fS_{ut}\right)^2}{S_e}$$
(6-14)  
$$b = -\frac{1}{3}\log\left(\frac{fS_{ut}}{S_e}\right)$$
(6-15)

where f is found from Figure 6-18.





If a completely reversed stress  $\sigma_{rev}$  is given, setting  $S_f = \sigma_a$  in Eq. (6-13), the number of cycles-to-failure can be expressed as

$$N = \left(\frac{\sigma_{\rm rev}}{a}\right)^{1/b} \tag{6-16}$$

Low-cycle fatigue is often defined (see Fig. 6-10) as failure that occurs in a range of cycles.  $1 \le N \le 10^3$ 

6-4 A steel rotating-beam test specimen has an ultimate strength of 1600 MPa. Estimate the life of the specimen if it is tested at a completely reversed stress amplitude of 900 MPa.

 $S_{ut} = 1600 \text{ MPa}$ 

## from 6-8

	$0.5 S_{ut}$	$S_{ut} \le 200 \text{ kpsi} (1400 \text{ MPa})$
$S'_e = \langle$	$100 \mathrm{kpsi}$	$S_{ut} > 200 \text{ kpsi}$
	700 MPa	$S_{ut} > 1400 \text{ MPa}$

 $S_e = 700 \text{ MPa}$ 

#### from Fig 6-18 we estimate f to be 0.77

$$a = \frac{(f S_{ut})^2}{S_e} = \frac{[0.77(1600)]^2}{700} = 2168.3 \text{ MPa}$$
$$b = -\frac{1}{3} \log \left(\frac{f S_{ut}}{S_e}\right) = -\frac{1}{3} \log \left(\frac{0.77(1600)}{700}\right) = -0.081838$$
$$N = \left(\frac{\sigma_{rev}}{a}\right)^{1/b} = \left(\frac{900}{2168.3}\right)^{-0.081838} = 46\ 400 \text{ cycles}$$

Given a 1050 HR steel, estimate

- a) The endurance strength of a polished rotating beam specimen corresponding to 10<sup>4</sup> cycles to failure.
- b) The expected life of a polished rotating-beam specimen under a completely reversed stress of 385MPa.

a) From Table A-20,

```
From Eq. (6-8)
```

Sut=630 Mpa=91.373 kpsi

a) From Fig. (6-18) for

S'e= (630)\*0.5=315MPa= 45686.875 PSI

f=0.855

From Eq. (6-14)  

$$a = \frac{f(S_{ut})^{2}}{S_{e}}$$
and (6-15) ==  $\Rightarrow$ 

$$b = -\frac{1}{3}\log\left(\frac{fS_{ut}}{S_{e}}\right)$$
Thus Eq. (6-13) is:  

$$S_{f} = a N^{b}$$
for  $N = 10^{4}$  cycles to failure, the above equation becomes  

$$S_{f} = a N^{b} = 1084 \left(10^{4}\right)^{-0.0785} = 526 \text{ MPa}$$



## 6-8 Endurance Limit Modifying Factors

The rotating-beam specimen used in the laboratory to determine endurance limits is prepared very carefully and tested under closely controlled conditions. It is unrealistic to expect the endurance limit of a mechanical or structural member to match the values obtained in the laboratory. Some differences include

- Material: composition, basis of failure, variability
- Manufacturing: method, heat treatment, fretting corrosion, surface condition, stress concentration
- Environment: corrosion, temperature, stress state, relaxation times
- Design: size, shape, life, stress state, stress concentration, speed, fretting, galling

## Marin's Equation

Marin identified factors that quantified the effects of surface condition, size, loading, temperature, and miscellaneous items. Marin's Equations is therefore written as:

$$S_{e} = k_{a} k_{b} k_{c} k_{d} k_{e} k_{f} S'_{e}$$
(6-18)



 $S'_{e}$ : rotary-beam test specimen endurance limit

$$S_e = k_a k_b k_c k_d k_e k_f S'_e$$

 $\begin{aligned} k_a &= \text{Surface condition modification factor} \\ k_b &= \text{Size modification factor} \\ k_c &= \text{load modification factor} \\ k_d &= \text{temperature modification factor} \\ k_e &= \text{reliability factor} \\ k_f &= \text{miscellaneous-effects modification factor} \end{aligned}$ 

When endurance tests of parts are not available, estimations are made by applying Marin factors to the endurance limit.

# Surface factor k<sub>a</sub>

 $k_a = a S_{ut}^b$ 

(6-19)

where  $S_{ut}$  is the minimum tensile strength and a and b are to be found in Table 6-2.

Table 6-2 Parameters for Marin surface modification factor, Eq. (6-19)

Surface	Factor a		Exponent
Finish	S <sub>ut</sub> , kpsi	S <sub>ut</sub> , MPa	Ь
Ground	1.34	1.58	-0.085
Machined or cold-drawn	2.70	4.51	-0.265
Hot-rolled	14.4	57.7	-0.718
As-forged	39.9	272.	-0.995
From C.J. Noll and C. Lipson, "Allowable W no. 2, 1946 p. 29. Reproduced by O.J. Ho New York. Copyright © 1953 by The McG	orking Stresses," <i>Socie</i> rger (ed.) <i>Metals Engin</i> raw-Hill Companies, Inc	ty for Experimental Stre neering Design ASME Ha . Reprinted by permissio	ss Analysis, vol. 3, ndbook, McGraw-Hill, n.

Size factor k<sub>b</sub>

$$k_{b} \qquad \text{The size factor} \qquad \text{for bending and torsion may be given by:} \\ k_{b} = \begin{cases} \left(d/3\right)^{-0.107} = 0.879 \ d^{-0.107} \qquad 0.11 \le d \le 2 \text{ in} \\ 0.91 \ d^{-0.157} \qquad 2 < d \le 10 \text{ in} \\ \left(d/7.62\right)^{-0.107} = 1.24 \ d^{-0.107} \qquad 2.79 \le d \le 51 \text{ mm} \\ 1.51 \ d^{-0.157} \qquad 51 < d \le 254 \text{ mm} \end{cases}$$
(6-20)

For axial loading there is no size effect, so

$$k_b = 1$$

(6-21)



#### **QUESTION:**

What to do with Eq.(6-20) if a round bar in bending is not rotating or when a non-circular cross-section is used?

Use effective dimension  $d_e$ 

where

$$d_e = 0.370 d$$
 (6-24)

as the effective size of a round corresponding to a non-rotating solid or hollow round. Table 6-3 provides areas of common structural shapes undergoing non-rotating bending



Table 6-3 Areas of common nonrotating structural shapes





$$\begin{split} A_{0.95\sigma} &= \begin{cases} 0.05ab & axis1-1\\ 0.052xa + 0.1t_f(b-x) & axis2-2 \end{cases} \\ d_e &= \left(\frac{A_{0.95\sigma}}{0.0766}\right)^{0.5} \end{split}$$



Average values for the load factor are given by

$$k_c = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{bending} \\ 0.85 & \text{axial} \\ 0.59 & \text{torsion} \end{cases}$$

(6-26)

#### **Temperature factor Kd**

For steels, the endurance limit slightly increases as temperature rises, then it starts to drop. Thus, the temperature factor is given as:

$$\begin{split} k_d &= 0.9887 + 0.6507(10^{-3})T_c - 0.3414(10^{-5})T_c^2 + 0.5621(10^{-8})T_c^3 \\ &- 6.426(10^{-12})T_c^4 \end{split}$$
 For  $37 \leq T_c \leq 540 \ ^\circ C$ 

The same values calculated by the equation are also presented in <u>Table 6-4</u> where:  $k_d = \left(\frac{S_T}{S_{RT}}\right)$ 

#### <u>Reliability Factor</u> $(k_e)$

The endurance limit obtained from testing is usually reported at <u>mean value</u> (*it has a* normal distribution with  $\hat{\sigma} = 8\%$ ).

• For other values of reliability,  $k_e$  is found from <u>Table 6-5</u>.

#### <u>Miscellaneous-Effects Factor</u> $(k_f)$

It is used to account for the reduction of endurance limit due to <u>all other effects</u> (such as Residual stress, corrosion, cyclic frequency, metal spraying, etc.).

However, those effects are not fully characterized and usually not accounted for. Thus we use  $(k_f = 1)$ .

Reliability, %	Transformation Variate z <sub>a</sub>	Reliability Factor $k_e$
50	0	1.000
90	1.288	0.897
95	1.645	0.868
99	2.326	0.814
99.9	3.091	0.753
99.99	3.719	0.702
99.999	4.265	0.659
99.9999	4.753	0.620